

REMARKS

Claims 1 to 20 were pending in the application. In the Office Action mailed June 27, 2008, the Examiner issued a restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. By this paper, Applicants elect to pursue the invention of Group II, claims 6 to 10 and 17 to 20. This election is made without traverse. In the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that if product claims are found allowable, then process claims can be rejoined. However, it is unclear from the Office Action which process claims the Examiner was directly referring to. Accordingly, at this time, Applicants have simply withdrawn the other claims corresponding to the nonelected invention.

Respectfully submitted,

HILBRAND KLAVER ET AL

By /Craig M. Lundell/

Their Attorney, Craig M. Lundell

Registration No. 30,284

(713) 241-2475

P. O. Box 2463
Houston, Texas 77252-2463